UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FILED

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

JUL 23 2020

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

PEOPLE NOT POLITICIANS OREGON; et al.,

No. 20-35630

D.C. No. 6:20-cv-01053-MC District of Oregon,

Plaintiffs-Appellees,

Eugene

v.

ORDER

BEVERLY CLARNO, Oregon Secretary of State,

Defendant-Appellant.

Before: THOMAS, Chief Judge, SCHROEDER and CALLAHAN, Circuit Judges.

Dissent by Judge CALLAHAN

Appellant's motion (Docket Entry No. 2) to stay the district court's July 13, 2020 order pending appeal is denied. See Nken v. Holder, 556 U.S. 418, 425–26 (2009).

CALLAHAN, Circuit Judge, dissenting:

I again dissent from the Court's denial of a motion to stay a preliminary injunction altering state election laws on the eve of an election.¹ The Appellant has demonstrated that a stay is warranted. *See Nken v. Holder*, 556 U.S. 418, 425–26 (2009).

The Appellant has made a strong showing that adherence to Oregon's constitutionally mandated signature threshold for ballot initiatives either does not implicate the First Amendment at all or does not do so in a way that runs afoul of the Appellees' rights. *Cf. Angle v. Miller*, 673 F.3d 1122, 1127, 1132–35 (9th Cir. 2012) (acknowledging that "[a] state may decline to grant a right to legislate through ballot initiatives" and holding that state's geographic signature requirement did not impermissibly burden core political speech (internal quotation marks omitted)).

The remaining factors also support a stay. *See Abbott v. Perez*, 138 S. Ct. 2305, 2324 (2018) (explaining that absent a constitutional violation, an injunction

20-35630

I similarly dissented from the denial of a stay motion in *Reclaim Idaho v. Little*, 20-35584. *See Reclaim Idaho v. Little*, 20-35584, CM/ECF Docket Entry No. 14 (July 9, 2020). Local press incorrectly reported that the Court's denial in that case was unanimous. *See* Nathan Brown, *Reclaim Idaho to resume signature gathering on school funding initiative*, Post Register (July 9, 2020), https://www.postregister.com/news/education/reclaim-idaho-to-resume-signature-gathering-on-school-funding-initiative/article_b548b864-aaf5-5702-a5ea-f6769621fd17.html.

barring a state from conducting its election pursuant to its laws "would seriously and irreparably harm the State"); *Purcell v. Gonzalez*, 549 U.S. 1, 4 (2006) ("A State indisputably has a compelling interest in preserving the integrity of its election process.").

I would grant the Appellant's motion and stay the preliminary injunction pending resolution of the appeal.

3 20-35630